

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ITS
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY OTTO
TRUCKING SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN
CONTEMPT OF THE PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION ORDER (DKT. 426)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”) certain information in its Reply in Support of Motion for Order
 3 to Show Cause Why Otto Trucking Should Not Be Held in Contempt of the Preliminary Injunction
 4 Order (Dkt. 426).

5 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 6 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the documents
 7 listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Waymo’s Motion	Highlighted Portions	Defendants and/or non-party Lior Ron (blue highlighting)

11
 12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
 14 Dated: _____, 2017

15 _____
 16 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
 17 United States District Court Judge
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28